arXiv:1006.0081v1 [math.DG] 1 Jun 2010

Slant submersions from almost Hermitian manifolds

Bayram Şahin

Inonu University, Department of Mathematics, 44280, Malatya-Turkey.
E-mail:bsahin@inonu.edu.tr

Abstract. We introduce slant submersions from almost Hermitian manifolds onto Riemannian manifolds. We give examples, investigate the geometry of foliations which are arisen from the definition of a Riemannian submersion and check the harmonicity of such submersions. We also find necessary and sufficient conditions for a slant submersion to be totally geodesic. Moreover, we obtain a decomposition theorem for the total manifold of such submersions.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C15, 53B20,53C43.

Keywords: Riemannian submersion, Hermitian manifold, Slant submersion.

1.Introduction

Let $\bar{M}$ be a Kaehler manifold with complex structure J and M is a Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in $\bar{M}$. We note that submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold determined by the behavior of the tangent bundle of the submanifold under the action of the complex structure of the ambient manifold. A submanifold M is called holomorphic (complex) if $J(T_pM) \subset T_pM$, for every $p \in M$, where T_pM denotes the tangent space to M at the point p. M is called totally real if $J(T_pM) \subset T_pM^{\perp}$ for every $p \in M$, where $T_pM^{\perp}$ denotes the normal space to M at the point p. As a generalization of holomorphic and totally real submanifolds, slant submanifolds were introduced by Chen in [5]. We recall that the submanifold M is called slant [5] if for all non-zero vector X tangent to M the angle $\theta(X)$ between JX and T_pM is a constant, i.e, it does not depend on the choice of $p \in M$ and $X \in T_pM$..

On the other hand, Riemannian submersions between Riemannian manifolds were studied by O'Neill [13] and Gray [10]. Later such submersions were considered between manifolds with differentiable structures. As an analogue of holomorphic submanifolds, Watson defined almost Hermitian submersions between almost Hermitian manifolds and he showed that the base manifold and each fiber have the same kind of structure as the total space, in most cases [15]. We note that almost Hermitian submersions have been extended to the almost contact manifolds [6], locally conformal Kähler manifolds[12] and quaternion Kähler manifolds [11].

Let M be a complex $m-$dimensional almost Hermitian manifold with Hermitian metric g_M and almost complex structure J_M and N be a complex $n-$dimensional almost Hermitian manifold with Hermitian metric g_N and almost complex structure J_N. A Riemannian submersion $F : M \longrightarrow N$ is called an almost Hermitian submersion if F is an almost complex mapping, i.e., $F_* J_M = J_N F_*$. The main result of this notion is that the vertical and horizontal distributions are J_M- invariant. On the other hand, Riemannian submersions from almost Hermitian manifolds onto Riemannian manifolds have been studied by many authors under the assumption that the vertical spaces of such submersions are invariant with respect to the complex structure. For instance, Escobales[8] studied Riemannian submersions from complex projective space onto a Riemannian manifold under the assumption that the fibers are connected, complex, totally geodesic submanifolds. One can see that this assumption implies that the vertical distribution is invariant.

Recently, we introduce anti-invariant Riemannian submersions from almost Hermitian manifolds onto Riemannianian manifolds and investigate the geometry of such submersions [14]. In this paper, as a generalization of Hermitian submersions and anti-invariant submersions, we define and study slant submersions from almost Hermitian manifolds onto Riemannian manifolds.

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we present the basic information needed for this paper. In section 3, we give definition of slant Riemannian submersions, provide examples and investigate the geometry of leaves of the distributions. We also obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for such submersions to be totally geodesic. Moreover we give a necessary condition for slant submersions to be harmonic and obtain a decomposition theorem.

2.Preliminaries

In this section, we define almost Hermitian manifolds, recall the notion of Riemannian submersions between Riemannian manifolds and give a brief review of basic facts of Riemannian submersions.

Let $(\bar{M}, g)$ be an almost Hermitian manifold. This means [16] that $\bar{M}$ admits a tensor field J of type (1, 1) on $\bar{M}$ such that, $\forall X, Y \in \Gamma(T\bar{M})$, we have

$$J^2 = -I, \quad g(X,Y) = g(JX,JY). \tag{2.1}$$

An almost Hermitian manifold $\bar{M}$ is called Kähler manifold if

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X J)Y = 0, \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(T\bar{M}), \tag{2.2}$$

where $\bar{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection on $\bar{M}$.

Let $(M^m, g_{_M})$ and $(N^n, g_{_N})$ Riemannian manifolds, where $dim(M) = m$, $dim(N) = n$ and $m > n$. A Riemannian submersion $F : M \longrightarrow N$ is a map of M onto N satisfying the following axioms:

(S1) F has maximal rank.

(S2) The differential F_* preserves the lenghts of horizontal vectors.

For each $q \in N$, $F^{-1}(q)$ is an $(m-n)$ dimensional submanifold of M.The submanifolds $F^{-1}(q)$, $q \in N$, are called fibers. A vector field on M is called vertical if it is always tangent to fibers. A vector field on M is called horizontal if it is always orthogonal to fibers. A vector field X on M is called basic if X is horizontal and $F-$ related to a vector field X_* on N, i.e., $F_*X_p = X_{*F(p)}$ for all $p \in M$. Note that we denote the projection morphisms on the distributions $kerF_*$ and $(kerfF_*)^\perp$ by $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{H}$, respectively.

We recall the following lemma from O'Neill [13].

Lemma 2.1 *Let $F : M \longrightarrow N$ be a Riemannian submersion between Riemannian manifolds and X, Y be basic vector fields of M. Then*

(a) $g_{_M}(X, Y) = g_{_N}(X_*, Y_*) \circ F$

(b) *the horizontal part $[X, Y]^{\mathcal{H}}$ of $[X, Y]$ is a basic vector field and corresponds to $[X_*, Y_*]$,i.e., $F_*([X, Y]^{\mathcal{H}}) = [X_*, Y_*]$.*

(c) *$[V, X]$ is vertical for any vector field V of $kerF_*$.*

(d) *$(\overset{M}{\nabla}_X Y)^{\mathcal{H}}$ is the basic vector field corresponding to $\overset{N}{\nabla}_{X_*} Y_*$.*

The geometry of Riemannian submersions is characterized by O'Neill's tensors $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ defined for vector fields E, F on M by

$$\mathcal{A}_E F = \mathcal{H}\nabla_{\mathcal{H}E}\mathcal{V}F + \mathcal{V}\nabla_{\mathcal{H}E}\mathcal{H}F \tag{2.3}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_E F = \mathcal{H}\nabla_{\mathcal{V}E}\mathcal{V}F + \mathcal{V}\nabla_{\mathcal{V}E}\mathcal{H}F, \tag{2.4}$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of $g_{_M}$. It is easy to see that a Riemannian submersion $F : M \longrightarrow N$ has totally geodesic fibers if and only if $\mathcal{T}$ vanishes identically. For any $E \in \Gamma(TM)$, $\mathcal{T}_E$ and $\mathcal{A}_E$ are skew-symmetric operators on $(\Gamma(TM), g)$ reversing the horizontal and the vertical distributions. It is also easy to see that $\mathcal{T}$ is vertical, $\mathcal{T}_E = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{V}E}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ is horizontal, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}E}$. We note that the tensor fields $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_U W &= \mathcal{T}_W U, \forall U, W \in \Gamma(kerF_*) && (2.5)\\ \mathcal{A}_X Y &= -\mathcal{A}_Y X = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{V}[X, Y], \forall X, Y \in \Gamma((kerF_*)^\perp). && (2.6) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from (2.3) and (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_V W &= \mathcal{T}_V W + \hat{\nabla}_V W && (2.7)\\ \nabla_V X &= \mathcal{H}\nabla_V X + \mathcal{T}_V X && (2.8)\\ \nabla_X V &= \mathcal{A}_X V + \mathcal{V}\nabla_X V && (2.9)\\ \nabla_X Y &= \mathcal{H}\nabla_X Y + \mathcal{A}_X Y && (2.10)\end{aligned}$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma((kerF_*)^\perp)$and $V, W \in \Gamma(kerF_*)$, where $\hat{\nabla}_V W = \mathcal{V}\nabla_V W$. If X is basic, then $\mathcal{H}\nabla_V X = \mathcal{A}_X V$.

Finally, we recall the notion of harmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds. Let $(M, g_{_M})$ and $(N, g_{_N})$ be Riemannian manifolds and suppose that $\varphi : M \longrightarrow N$ is a smooth map between them. Then the differential φ_* of φ can be viewed a section of the bundle $Hom(TM, \varphi^{-1}TN) \longrightarrow M$, where $\varphi^{-1}TN$ is the pullback bundle which has fibers $(\varphi^{-1}TN)_p = T_{\varphi(p)}N, p \in M$. $Hom(TM, \varphi^{-1}TN)$ has a connection ∇ induced from the Levi-Civita connection ∇^M and the pullback connection. Then the second fundamental form of φ is given by

$$(\nabla\varphi_*)(X, Y) = \nabla^{\varphi}_X \varphi_*(Y) - \varphi_*(\nabla^M_X Y) \tag{2.11}$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, where ∇^{φ} is the pullback connection. It is known that the second fundamental form is symmetric. A smooth map $\varphi : (M, g_{_M}) \longrightarrow (N, g_{_N})$ is said to be harmonic if $trace(\nabla\varphi_*) = 0$. On the other hand, the tension field of φ is the section $\tau(\varphi)$ of $\Gamma(\varphi^{-1}TN)$ defined by

$$\tau(\varphi) = div\varphi_* = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\nabla\varphi_*)(e_i, e_i), \tag{2.12}$$

where $\{e_1, ..., e_m\}$ is the orthonormal frame on M. Then it follows that φ is harmonic if and only if $\tau(\varphi) = 0$, for details, see [1]

3.Slant Submersions

In this section, we define slant submersions from an almost Hermitian manifold onto a Riemannian manifold by using the definition of a slant distribution given in [3] , investigate the integrability of distributions and obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for such submersions to be totally geodesic map. We also investigate the harmonicity of a slant submersions and obtain a decomposition theorem for the total manifold.

Definition 3.1. *Let F be a Riemannian submersion from an almost Hermitian manifold (M_1, g_1, J_1) onto a Riemannian manifold (M_2, g_2). If for any non-zero vector $X \in \Gamma(kerF_*)$, the angle $\theta(X)$ between JX and the space $kerF_*$ is a constant, i.e. it is independent of the choice of the point $p \in M_1$ and choice of the tangent vector X in $kerF_*$, then we say that F is a slant submersion. In* *this case, the angle θ is called the slant angle of the slant submersion.*

It is known that the distribution $kerF_*$ is integrable. In fact, its leaves are $F^{-1}(q)$, $q \in M_1$, i.e., fibers. Thus it follows from above definition that the fibers are slant submanifolds of M_1, for slant submanifold, [4].

We first give some examples of slant submersions.

Example 1. Every Hermitian submersion from an almost Hermitian manifold onto an almost Hermitian manifold is a slant submersion with $\theta = 0$.

Example 2. Every anti-invariant Riemannian submersion from an almost Hermitian manifold to a Riemannian manifold is a slant submersion with $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

A slant submersion is said to be proper if it is neither Hermitian nor anti-invariant Riemannian submersion.

Example 3. Consider the following Riemannian submersion given by

$$\begin{array}{cccc} F: & R^4 & \longrightarrow & R^2 \\ & (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) & & (x_1 \sin\alpha - x_3 \cos\alpha, x_4). \end{array}$$

Then for any $0 < \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$, F is a slant submersion with slant angle α.

Example 4. The following Riemannian submersion defined by

$$\begin{array}{cccc} F: & R^4 & \longrightarrow & R^2 \\ & (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) & & (\frac{x_1 - x_4}{\sqrt{2}}, x_2) \end{array}$$

is a slant submersion with slant angle $\theta = \frac{\pi}{4}$.

Let F be a Riemannian submersion from an almost Hermitian manifold (M_1, g_1, J) onto a Riemannian manifold (M_2, g_2). Then for $X \in \Gamma(kerF_*)$, we write

$$JX = \phi X + \omega X, \tag{3.1}$$

where ϕX and ωX are vertical and horizontal parts of JX. Also for $V \in \Gamma((kerF_*)^{\perp})$, we have

$$JZ = \mathcal{B}Z + \mathcal{C}Z, \tag{3.2}$$

where $\mathcal{B}Z$ and $\mathcal{C}Z$ are vertical and horizontal components of JZ. Using (2.7), (2.8), (3.1) and (3.3) we obtain

$$(\nabla_X \omega)Y = \mathcal{C}\mathcal{T}_X Y - \mathcal{T}_X \phi Y \tag{3.3}$$

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = \mathcal{B}\mathcal{T}_X Y - \mathcal{T}_X \omega Y, \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X \omega)Y &= \mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega Y - \omega \hat{\nabla}_X Y \\ (\nabla_X \phi)Y &= \hat{\nabla}_X \phi Y - \phi \hat{\nabla}_X Y. \end{aligned}$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma(kerF_*)$. Let F be a slant submersion from an almost Hermitian manifold onto a Riemannian manifold, then we say that ω is parallel if $\nabla\omega = 0$.

The proof of the following result is exactly same with slant immersions (see [4] or [2] for Sasakian case), therefore we omit its proof.

Theorem 3.1. *Let F be a Riemannian submersion from an almost Hermitian manifold (M_1, g_1, J) onto a Riemannian manifold (M_2, g_2). Then F is a slant submersion if and only if for, $\phi^2 X = \lambda X$, $\lambda \in [-1, 0]$ and $X \in \Gamma(kerF_*)$. If F is a slant submersion, then $\lambda = -\cos^2\theta$.*

By using above theorem, it is easy to see that

$$g_1(\phi X, \phi Y) = \cos^2\theta g_1(X, Y) \tag{3.5}$$

$$g_1(\omega X, \omega Y) = \sin^2\theta g_1(X, Y) \tag{3.6}$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(kerF_*)$. Also by using (3.5) and (3.6) we can easily conclude that

$$\{e_1, \sec\theta\phi e_1, e_2, \sec\theta\phi e_2, ..., e_n, \sec\theta\phi e_n\}$$

is an orthonormal frame for $\Gamma(kerF_*)$ and

$$\{\csc\theta\omega e_1, \csc\theta\omega e_2, ..., \csc\theta\omega e_n\}$$

is an orthonormal frame for $\Gamma(J(kerF_*))$. As in slant immersions, we call such frame an adapted frame for slant submersions.

Lemma 3.1. *Let F be a slant submersion from a Kähler manifold onto a Riemannian manifold. If ω is parallel then we have*

$$\mathcal{T}_{\phi X}\phi X = -\cos^2\theta\mathcal{T}_X X \tag{3.7}$$

for $X \in \Gamma(kerF_*)$.

Proof. If ω is parallel, then from (3.3) we have $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{T}_X Y = \mathcal{T}_X \phi Y$ for $X, Y \in \Gamma(kerF_*)$. Interchanging the role of X and Y, we get $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{T}_Y X = \mathcal{T}_Y \phi X$. Thus we have

$$\mathcal{C}\mathcal{T}_X Y - \mathcal{C}\mathcal{T}_Y X = \mathcal{T}_X \phi Y - \mathcal{T}_Y \phi X.$$

Using (2.5) we derive

$$\mathcal{T}_X \phi Y = \mathcal{T}_Y \phi X. \tag{3.8}$$

Then substituting Y by ϕX we get $\mathcal{T}_X \phi^2 X = \mathcal{T}_{\phi X}\phi X$. Finally using Theorem 3.1 we obtain (3.7).

We now give a sufficient condition for a slant submersion to be harmonic.

Theorem 3.2. *Let F be a slant submersion from a Kähler manifold onto a Riemannian manifold. If ω is parallel then F is a harmonic map.*

Proof. Since

$$(\nabla F_*)(Z_1, Z_2) = 0 \tag{3.9}$$

for $Z_1, Z_2 \in \Gamma((kerF_*)^\perp)$. A slant submersion F is harmonic if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla F_*)(\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{e}_i) = -\sum_{i=1}^n F_*(\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{e}_i} \tilde{e}_i) = 0$, where $\{\tilde{e}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is an orthonormal basis of $kerF_*$. Thus using the adapted frame of slant submersions we can write

$$\tau = -\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} F_*(\mathcal{T}_{e_i} e_i + \mathcal{T}_{\sec\theta\phi e_i} \sec\theta\phi e_i).$$

Hence we have

$$\tau = -(\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} F_*(\mathcal{T}_{e_i} e_i + \sec^2\theta \mathcal{T}_{\phi e_i} \phi e_i)).$$

Then using (3.7) we arrive at

$$\tau = -(\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} F_*(\mathcal{T}_{e_i} e_i - \mathcal{T}_{e_i} e_i)) = 0$$

which shows that F is harmonic.

We now investigate the geometry of the leaves of the distributions $kerF_*$ and $(kerF_*)^\perp$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let F be a slant submersion from a Kähler manifold (M_1, g_1, J_1) onto a Riemannian manifold (M_2, g_2). Then the distribution $kerF_*$ defines a totally geodesic foliation on M_1 if and only if*

$$g_1(\mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega\phi Y, Z) = g_1(\mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega Y, \mathcal{C}Z) + g_1(\mathcal{T}_X \omega Y, \mathcal{B}Z)$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma(kerF_*)$ and $Z \in \Gamma((kerF_*)^\perp$.

Proof. For $X, Y \in \Gamma(kerF_*)$ and $Z \in \Gamma((kerF_*)^\perp$, from (2.1) and (3.1) we have

$$g_1(\nabla_X Y, Z) = g_1(\nabla_X \phi Y, JZ) + g_1(\nabla_X \omega Y, JZ).$$

Using (2.1), (3.1) and (3.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(\nabla_X Y, Z) &= -g_1(\nabla_X \phi^2 Y, Z) - g_1(\nabla_X \omega\phi Y, Z) \\ &+ g_1(\nabla_X \omega Y, \mathcal{B}Z) + g_1(\nabla_X \omega Y, \mathcal{C}Z). \end{aligned}$$

Then from (2.8) and Theorem 3.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(\nabla_X Y, Z) &= \cos^2\theta g_1(\nabla_X Y, Z) - g_1(\mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega\phi Y, Z) \\ &+ g_1(\mathcal{T}_X \omega Y, \mathcal{B}Z) + g_1(\mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega Y, \mathcal{C}Z). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sin^2\theta g_1(\nabla_X Y, Z) &= -g_1(\mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega\phi Y, Z)\\ &+ g_1(\mathcal{T}_X \omega Y, \mathcal{B}Z) + g_1(\mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega Y, \mathcal{C}Z)\end{aligned}$$

which proves assertion.

In a similar way we have the following.

Theorem 3.4. *Let F be a slant submersion from a Kähler manifold (M_1, g_1, J_1) onto a Riemannian manifold (M_2, g_2). Then the distribution $(kerF_*)^\perp$ defines a totally geodesic foliation on M_1 if and only if*

$$g_1(\mathcal{H}\nabla_{Z_1} Z_2, \omega\phi X) = g_1(\mathcal{A}_{Z_1}\mathcal{B}Z_2 + \mathcal{H}\nabla_{Z_1}\mathcal{C}Z_2, \omega X)$$

for $X \in \Gamma(kerF_*)$ and $Z_1, Z_2 \in \Gamma((kerF_*)^\perp$.

From Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4 we have the following result.

Corollary 3.1. *Let F be a slant submersion from a Kähler manifold (M_1, g_1, J_1) onto a Riemannian manifold (M_2, g_2). Then M_1 is locally a product Riemannian manifold if and only if*

$$g_1(\mathcal{H}\nabla_{Z_1} Z_2, \omega\phi X) = g_1(\mathcal{A}_{Z_1}\mathcal{B}Z_2 + \mathcal{H}\nabla_{Z_1}\mathcal{C}Z_2, \omega X)$$

and

$$g_1(\mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega\phi Y, Z_1) = g_1(\mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega Y, \mathcal{C}Z_1) + g_1(\mathcal{T}_X \omega Y, \mathcal{B}Z_1)$$

for $X, Y, \in \Gamma(kerF_*)$ and $Z_1, Z_2 \in \Gamma((kerF_*)^\perp$.

Finally we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a slant submersion to be totally geodesic. Recall that a differentiable map F between Riemannian manifolds (M_1, g_1) and (M_2, g_2) is called a totally geodesic map if $(\nabla F_*)(X, Y) = 0$ for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM_1)$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let F be a slant submersion from a Kähler manifold (M_1, g_1, J_1) onto a Riemannian manifold (M_2, g_2). Then F is totally geodesic if and only if*

$$g_1(\mathcal{T}_X \omega Y, \mathcal{B}Z_1) + g_1(\mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega Y, \mathcal{C}Z_1) = g_1(\mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega\phi Y, Z_1)$$

and

$$g_1(\mathcal{A}_{Z_1} BZ_2 + \mathcal{H}\nabla_{Z_1}\mathcal{C}Z_2, \omega X) = -g_1(\mathcal{H}\nabla_{Z_1}\omega\phi X, Z_2)$$

for $Z_1, Z_2 \in \Gamma((kerF_*)^\perp)$ and $X, Y \in \Gamma(kerF_*)$.

Proof. For $X, Y \in \Gamma(kerF_*)$ and $Z_1 \in \Gamma((kerF_*)^\perp)$, since F is a Riemannian submersion, from (2.1), (3.1) and (3.2) we have

$$g_2((\nabla F_*)(X, Y), F_* Z_1) = -g_1(\nabla_X J\phi Y, Z) + g_1(\nabla_X \omega Y, JZ).$$

Using again (3.1) and (3.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} g_2((\nabla F_*)(X,Y),F_*Z_1) &= -g_1(\nabla_X\phi^2Y,Z)-g_1(\nabla_X\omega\phi Y,Z)\\ &+ g_1(\nabla_X\omega Y,\mathcal{B}Z)+g_1(\nabla_X\omega Y,\mathcal{C}Z). \end{aligned}$$

Then Theorem 3.1, (2.7) and (2.8)imply that

$$\begin{aligned} g_2((\nabla F_*)(X,Y),F_*Z_1) &= \cos^2\theta g_1(\nabla_X\phi^2Y,Z)-g_1(\nabla_X\omega\phi Y,Z)\\ &+ g_1(\mathcal{T}_X\omega Y,\mathcal{B}Z)+g_1(\mathcal{H}\nabla_X\omega Y,\mathcal{C}Z). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sin^2\theta g_2((\nabla F_*)(X,Y),F_*Z_1) &= g_1(\nabla_X\phi^2Y,Z)-g_1(\nabla_X\omega\phi Y,Z)\\ &+ g_1(\mathcal{T}_X\omega Y,\mathcal{B}Z)+g_1(\mathcal{H}\nabla_X\omega Y,\mathcal{C}Z). \end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

In a similar way, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sin^2\theta g_2((\nabla F_*)(X,Z_1),F_*(Z_2)) &= -g_1(\mathcal{H}\nabla_{Z_1}\omega\phi X,Z_2)\\ &- g_1(\mathcal{A}_{Z_1}BZ_2+\mathcal{H}\nabla_{Z_1}\mathcal{C}Z_2,\omega X). \end{aligned}\tag{3.11}$$

Then proof follows from (3.10) and (3.11).

References

[1] Baird, P. and Wood, J. C. *Harmonic Morphisms Between Riemannian Manifolds*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, No. 29, Oxford University Press, The Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2003.

[2] Cabrerizo, J. L., Carriazo, A., Fernandez, L. M., Fernandez, M., Slant submanifolds in Sasakian manifolds. Glasg. Math. J. 42(1), (2000),125-138.

[3] Cabrerizo, J. L., Carriazo, A., Fernandez, L. M.; Fernandez, M., Semi-slant submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold. Geom. Dedicata 78(2), (1999), 183-199.

[4] Chen, B.Y., Slant immersions. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 41 (1), (1990), 135-147.

[5] Chen, B.Y., *Geometry of slant Submanifolds*, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Leuven,1990.

[6] Chinea, D. Almost contact metric submersions. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, (1985), 34(1),89-104.

[7] Eells, J., Sampson, J. H. Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds. Amer. J. Math., (1964), 86, 109-160.

[8] Escobales, R. H. Jr. Riemannian submersions from complex projective space. J. Differential Geom., (1978), 13(1), 93-107.

[9] Falcitelli, M., Ianus, S., Pastore, A. M., *Riemannian Submersions and Related Topics*. World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, 2004.

[10] Gray, A. Pseudo-Riemannian almost product manifolds and submersions, J. Math. Mech., (1967), 16, 715-737.

[11] Ianus, S., Mazzocco, R., Vilcu, G. E., Riemannian submersions from quaternionic manifolds. Acta Appl. Math., (2008), 104(1), 83-89.

[12] Marrero, J. C., Rocha, J. Locally conformal Kähler submersions. Geom. Dedicata, (1994), 52(3), 271-289.

[13] O'Neill, B. The fundamental equations of a submersion, Mich. Math. J., (1966), 13, 458-469.

[14] Ṣahin,B., Anti-invariant Riemannian submersions from almost Hermitian manifolds, Central European J.Math, 8(3), (2010), 437-447.

[15] Watson, B. Almost Hermitian submersions. J. Differential Geometry, (1976), 11(1), 147-165.

[16] Yano, K. and Kon, M. *Structures on Manifolds*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1984.